

Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 MOSCOW 19129 01 OF 02 071552Z

44

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 FBO-02 A-01 L-03 /019 W

----- 028845

R 071146Z DEC 76

FM AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1812

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 1 OF 2 MOSCOW 19129

E.O. 11652: NA

TAGS: ABLD, AFSP, UR, US

SUBJECT: KIEV CONSULATE GENERAL

REF: MOSCOW 19057

1. THE FOLLOWING ARE THE KIEV ADVANCE PARTY'S COMMENTS REGARDING THE SOVIET PROPOSALS ON U.S. PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR REMODELING GORKIY AND STRELETSKAYA (WILL BE SENT SEPTTEL). COMMENTS ARE KEYED TO PARAGRAPHS OF SOVIET PROPOSALS.

SOVIET PROJECT ENGINEERS INDICATED WILLINGNESS TO ACCEPT U.S. CONSTRUCTION "NORMS" WHEREVER HIGHER THAN SOVIET, BUT IMPLIED THAT COSTS WOULD INCREASE FOR STRICTER REQUIREMENTS.

I-1. KAP IS PRESENTLY ATTEMPTING TO OBTAIN COPIES OF THE APPLICABLE BUILDING CODES.

I-2. NO COMMENT.

I-3. COMMENT: WE MUST BE VERY CAREFUL AS TO HOW WE HANDLE THIS IN BOTH THE CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT AND THE FINAL PLANS IN ORDER TO BE CERTAIN THAT ANY NON-SOVIET MATERIALS AND/OR EQUIPMENT CAN BE INSERTED INTO THE PROJECT AT ANY POINT.

I-4. NO COMMENT.

I-5. (A) THIS AGREEMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN SIGNED. WHEN ALL OF THE PRELIMINARY DETAILS HAVE BEEN IRONED OUT (AFTER THE FINAL TECHNICAL TALKS), UPKK AND UKRYHILREMPROYEHT WILL SIGN THEIR AGREEMENT AND THE FINAL WORKING DRAWINGS WILL THEN BE PREPARED. OUR CONTRACT WITH UPKK WILL PRESUMABLY BE SIGNED AFTER THE FINAL WORKING DRAWINGS ARE FINISHED AND APPROVED.

(B) THE SOVIETS ARE INSISTING THAT WE PROVIDE THEM
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 MOSCOW 19129 01 OF 02 071552Z

WITH AS COMPLETE A DESCRIPTION AS WE CAN OF EVERYTHING

THAT WE WILL BE PROVIDING FOR THE BUILDING. IN GENERAL, THIS CONSISTS OF DIMENSIONS, ELECTRICAL POWER REQUIREMENTS WHERE APPLICABLE, ANY PECULIAR OPERATIONS PROCEDURES, AND QUANTITY OF EACH LIKE ITEM. ELECTRICAL AND PLUMBING ITEMS ARE THEIR CHIEF CONCERN. KAP REQUESTED A LETTER FROM UPKK REQUESTING A LIST OF ITEMS FOR WHICH INFORMATION IS DESIRED, AND EXACTLY WHAT INFORMATION IS NEEDED. WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF THE EMBASSY, ENOUGH PRELIMINARY INFORMATION CAN BE PROVIDED TO FULFILL THEIR REQUIREMENTS.

II-1. THIS SUMMARIZES THE MAIN PROBLEM AT GORKIY. THIS STAIRWELL MUST BE INCREASED IN DEPTH AND WIDTH, AS SHOWN ON THEIR PLANS. ON THE 2ND FLOOR, THIS WOULD CUT OFF CORRIDOR A-25 AND ELIMINATE LINEN CLOSET A-26; ON THE 3RD FLOOR IT WOULD ELIMINATE BATH B-15 AND LAUNDRY C-15.

THE SOVIETS STATED THAT THE BUILDING IS JUST OVER 14 METERS HIGH, AND THEREFORE REQUIRES AN ELEVATOR IN THE REAR TO SERVICE THE 4TH FLOOR. KAP RECAPITULATED OLD ARGUMENTS FAVORING ONE ENTRANCE AND ONE ELEVATOR FOR ALL RESIDENTS, AND PROTESTED CHANGE IN SOVIET PLANS, RECALLING THAT SOVIETS HAD EARLIER INDICATED THERE WOULD NOT BE A REAR ELEVATOR AND WE PROCEEDED WITH OUR PLANS ON THAT ASSUMPTION. RUDENKO ANSWERED WITH SPEECH ABOUT SOVIET NEED TO PROTECT AMERICANS FROM "OUTSIDERS", INCLUDING EVIDENTLY "OUTSIDERS" ON 1ST AND 4TH FLOORS.

HOWEVER, SOVIETS WERE FORTHCOMING ABOUT LOCATION OF ELEVATOR, AGREEING THAT IT COULD BE LOCATED EITHER IN THE BACK STAIRWELL STARTING AT 1ST FLOOR LANDING OR RUNNING UP THE OUTSIDE OF THE BUILDING DIRECTLY BEHIND THE STAIRWELL, AND THAT THEY WOULD STUDY IT.

IT IS SAFE TO PLAN THAT THEIR ELEVATOR WILL NOT BE LOCATED AS THEY SHOW IT; HOWEVER, THEIR STAIRWELL WILL REMAIN AS IS ON THEIR PLANS. OUR SPACE CAN BE RE-CONFIGURED BASED ON THIS. ALSO, REQUEST DEPARTMENT MAKE RECOMMENDATION AS TO LOCATION OF THEIR ELEVATOR - EITHER ON OUTSIDE WALL DIRECTLY BEHIND STAIRWELL, OR IN STAIRWELL COMING UP BACK WALL - KEEPING IN MIND, AMONG OTHERS, THE NOISE AND AESTHETIC FACTORS.

THE FOUR COLUMNS SHOWN IN KNOOP'S PLAN OF 9/24/76 IN AREAS B-14, C-14 AND THE HEAD OF THE STAIRWELL WILL NOT BE INCLUDED IN RENOVATION. SOVIETS PLAN TO SPAN THIS LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 MOSCOW 19129 01 OF 02 071552Z

AREA USING NEW STAIRWELL AS SUPPORT.

REVISED PLANS CAN ELIMINATE THESE COLUMNS AS SHOWN ON SOVIET PLANS.

KAP AGREES THAT TRASH CHUTE SHOULD BE PROVIDED FOR, AND THAT INTERIOR LOCATION IS PREFERABLE TO FRONT STAIRWELL. IN ORDER FOR BATH 3RD FLOOR APARTMENTS TO HAVE DIRECT ACCESS TO IT, IT MUST BE LOCATED IN THE MEDIAN AREA BETWEEN THE TWO APARTMENTS. ON 2ND FLOOR, SOVIETS AGREED THAT AREA

OF TRASH CHUTE ROOM AS THEY SHOW IT IS EXCESSIVE. THEY AGREED THE ROOM CAN BE ESSENTIALLY BISECTED WITH A PARTITION PARALLEL TO FRONT OF BUILDING THAT MOUTH OF CHUTE CAN BE TURNED TO FACE A-12, AND THAT DOOR CAN BE PUT ON A-12 SIDE. THIS WOULD LEAVE FRONT PART OF ROOM SHOWN ON SOVIET PLANS FOR LINEN, STORAGE, OR ENLARGEMENT OF ADJACENT BATHROOM.

REQUEST DEPARTMENT'S RECOMMENDATION FOR LOCATION OF TRASH CHUTE. IF LOCATION SHOWN ON SOVIET PLANS IS ACCEPTABLE, ABOVE INFORMATION REGARDING 2ND FLOOR RECONFIGURATION MAY BE USED. IN GENERAL, IT IS SAFER TO ASSUME THAT TRASH CHUTE CAN BE LOCATED AT ANY POINT ALONG MEDIAN BETWEEN TWO 3RD FLOOR APARTMENTS AND BETWEEN FRONT AND BACK STAIRWELL AREAS, AS THEY WILL ADJUST THEIR 1ST AND 4TH FLOOR PLANS FOR THIS TO MEET OUR REQUEST.

THE SOVIETS STATED THAT THERE WERE NO TECHNICAL REASONS FOR SEALING THE WINDOWS ON EACH SIDE OF THE BACK OF THE BUILDING ON THE 2ND AND 3RD FLOORS. THEY HAD NO OBJECTION TO MOVING THE RELEVANT PARTITIONS OF CLOSETS A-14, A-20, B-13, AND C-13 (SOVIET PLANS) IN ORDER TO LEAVE WINDOWS OPEN AND LET LIGHT INTO RESPECTIVE BEDROOMS A-15, A-16, B-14 AND C-14.

THIS MAY BE USED IN PLANNING RECONFIGURATION.
II-2. FOLLOWING IS AN EXPLANATION OF HOW SOVIET PLANS FULFILL THIS REQUIREMENT:

B-08: PIPES CAN BE RUN DOWN THROUGH LAUNDRY ROOM A-11.

B-15: PIPES CAN BE RUN DOWN THROUGH STORAGE ROOM A-26 (SOVIET PLANS)

C-08: PIPES CAN BE RUN DOWN THROUGH COAT ROOM A-02.

C-16: PIPES CAN BE RUN DOWN THROUGH BATH A-20.

IN PLANNING THE RECONFIGURATION FOR THE AFFECTED AREAS OF THE BACK OF THE BUILDING, THE RELATIVE LOCATIONS OF BATHROOMS/STORAGE AREAS MUST BE KEPT IN MIND.

II-3. THE SOVIETS ARGUE THAT THE BUILDING IS OVER 14 METERS LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 MOSCOW 19129 01 OF 02 071552Z

HIGH, AND THEREFORE REQUIRES THAT AN ELEVATOR BE BUILT TO SERVE THE 4TH FLOOR OCCUPANTS. SINCE WE ARE REQUESTING AN ADDITIONAL ELEVATOR, AND IT WILL ONLY GO TO THE 3RD FLOOR, IT WILL BE AT OUR EXPENSE. IN ANY EVENT WE BELIEVE WE CAN NEGOTIATE A LEASE WHICH MEETS THE DEPARTMENT'S INSTRUCTIONS IN STATE 269396.

III-1. IS THIS ACCEPTABLE? IF NOT, WHAT IS PREFERABLE AND/OR WHAT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IS REQUIRED?

III-2. NO COMMENT.

IV-3. THE SOVIET PROJECT ENGINEER FELT THAT METAL DOOR FRAMES AS WE SPECIFIED THEM WERE UNNECESSARY GIVEN THEIR TYPE OF PARTITION CONSTRUCTION. IN ADDITION, METAL DOOR FRAMES OF THE TYPE WE ASKED FOR ARE NOT MANUFACTURED IN THE SOVIET UNION, AND WOULD BE SIGNIFICANTLY MORE EXPENSIVE THAN WOOD ONES.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 MOSCOW 19129 02 OF 02 071603Z

44

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 FBO-02 A-01 L-03 /019 W

----- 029024

R 071146Z DEC 76

FM AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1813

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 2 OF 2 MOSCOW 19129

KAP NEEDS MORE DETAILED TECHNICAL INFORMATION IF METAL FRAMES ARE TO BE INSISTED ON.

IV-1. SOVIETS WANT TO KNOW COLOR OF PAINT FOR EACH ROOM, WHETHER OR NOT EACH INDIVIDUAL DOOR WILL HAVE A GLASS UPPER SECTION OR NOT, IS EACH DOOR TO BE PAINTED OR STAINED, AND ANY OTHER SPECIFIC INFORMATION WE CAN PROVIDE.

IV-2. THE GENERAL ESTIMATE FOR REMAKING AND INSTALLING THE DECORATIVE CEILINGS IN THE FIVE AREAS REQUESTED BY KAP (A-04, A-05, A-06, B-03, C-03) IS RUBLES 15,000. THIS GENERALLY BREAKS DOWN TO RUBLES 3,000 PER ROOM.

V-1. PROJECT ENGINEER SAID THAT A STANDARD 21 DEGREES CENT. COULD BE ACHIEVED IN ALL ROOMS AS WE SPECIFIED. HOWEVER, SOVIETS APPLY VARYING NORMS TO THEIR ROOMS: KITCHENS: 15 DEGREES C, BATHS: 20 DEGREES CENT, CORRIDORS: 16 DEGREES C, ALL OTHER ROOMS 18 DEGREES C.

REQUEST GUIDANCE AS TO WHETHER KAP SHOULD REITERATE INITIAL US SPECIFICATION, ACCEPT SOVIET NORMS OR PRESENT SOME MODIFICATION OF BOTH SYSTEMS.

V-2. SOVIET PROJECT ENGINEER STATED THAT SOVIET NORMS WERE THE SAME AS OURS REGARDING VOLUME PER MINUTE; HOWEVER, THEY USE THIS FIGURE REGARDLESS OF THE FLOOR AREA. HE SAID U.S. SPECIFICATIONS WOULD CREATE A CONSTANT DRAFT AND MAKE OPENING DOORS DIFFICULT DUE TO THE STRENGTH OF THE SUCTION. KAP POINTED OUT THAT U.S. MECHANICAL VENTILATION IS NOT CONSTANT, BUT RUN ONLY WHEN NEEDED.

REQUEST CLARIFICATION FOR THIS ISSUE. SHOULD THE FLOOR AREA BE INCLUDED IN THE CALCULATION OR NOT?

VI. AS STATED IN COMMENT TO I-5 ABOVE, SOVIETS ARE ASKING FOR A LIST AND DESCRIPTION OF EACH ITEM OR GROUP OF ITEMS SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 MOSCOW 19129 02 OF 02 071603Z

COMMENTS ON ADDITIONAL CHANGES IN SOVIET PLANS:

A-03. (BOTH PLANS): PROJECT ENGINEER SAID THERE WAS NO TECHNICAL REASON FOR CHANGING DOOR AND INTERIOR CONFIGURATION AND WOULD DO IT AS WE REQUESTED.

C-09/DOOR TO C-06 (BOTH PLANS: PROJECT ENGINEER FELT HIS WAY BETTER BECAUSE OF UNKNOWN LENGTH OF WALL; HOWEVER, THERE IS NO TECHNICAL REASON FOR NOT DOING IT OUR WAY.

REQUEST GUIDANCE.

B-08 (BOTH PLANS): PROJECT ENGINEER AGREED TO THE FOLLOWING CONFIGURATION: SHOWER IN B-10/OUTSIDE WINDOW CORNER, TUB ALONG B-10/B-09 WALL, TWO SINKS ON B-06 SIDE OF B-18 WALL, TOILET ON ELEVATOR/STAIRWELL SIDE OF B-18 WALL, DOOR OPENING INWARD TO COVER FRONT OF SHOWER IN NEW LOCATION.

DOORS: ALL DOORS THAT ARE SHOWN ON SOVIET PLANS AS OPENING OUTWARD INTO A CORRIDOR CAN OPEN INWARD AS WE SPECIFIED. OUR ELEVATOR: THEIR COMMENTS ON STRELITSKAYA STATE THAT AN ELEVATOR MUST BE ENCLOSED BY BEAMING WALLS. THIS ACCOUNTS FOR LOSS OF SPACE IN THIS AREA.

AREA ACROSS FROM A-07 ON SOVIET PLANS CAN PROBABLY BE REDONE INTO A MORE FUNCTIONAL CONFIGURATION. GENERAL MEASUREMENTS: SOVIET PROJECT ENGINEER STATED THAT ORIGINAL DIAGRAM GIVEN TO EMBASSY HAD CORRECT OUTER MEASUREMENTS, BUT THAT WALL THICKNESSES WERE INCORRECT, WHICH PRODUCED DISCREPANCY ON U.S. PLANS. ENGINEER STATED THAT THE DIMENSIONS ON THEIR PLANS WERE THE CORRECT ONES TO BE USED. MATLOCK

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 07 DEC 1976
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: CunninFX
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976MOSCOW19129
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D760451-1056
From: MOSCOW
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19761266/aaaacfeu.tel
Line Count: 256
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 76 MOSCOW 19057
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: CunninFX
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 05 MAY 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <05 MAY 2004 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <08 SEP 2004 by CunninFX>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: KIEV CONSULATE GENERAL
TAGS: ABLD, AFSP, UR, US
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006